

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,019	MIYASAKA, ATSUSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven D. Maki	1791	

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) John Bird (46027).

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mainak Mehta (46924).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 November 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

2, 3

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 11-20-07, examiner gave John Bird the following proposal: In claim 2 line 15 change "the vicinities" to --surfaces--. In claim 3 line 3 change "the first narrow groove" to --a first narrow groove--. Change title to --Pneumatic tire with tread including chamfer portions--. On 11-22-07, Mainak Mehta agreed to the proposed changes..